

# UC Irvine

## UC Irvine Previously Published Works

**Title**

Neuroplasticity and brain repair after stroke.

**Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2h27q4n2>

**Journal**

Current opinion in neurology, 21(1)

**ISSN**

1350-7540

**Authors**

Cramer, Steven C  
Riley, Jeff D

**Publication Date**

2008-02-01

**DOI**

10.1097/wco.0b013e3282f36cb6

**Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# Neuroplasticity and brain repair after stroke

Steven C. Cramer and Jeff D. Riley

Departments of Neurology and Anatomy & Neurobiology, University of California, Irvine, California, USA

Correspondence to Steven C. Cramer, MD, University of California, Irvine Medical Center, 101 The City Drive South, Building 53 Room 203 Orange, CA 92868-4280, USA  
Tel: +1 714 456 6876; fax: +1 714 456 8805; e-mail: [scramer@uci.edu](mailto:scramer@uci.edu)

**Current Opinion in Neurology** 2008, 21:76–82

## Purpose of review

This review considers recent insights into the neurobiology of repair after stroke in animals and humans, the range of emerging therapies to promote repair and recovery after the acute phase of stroke, and issues related to optimizing trials of such therapies.

## Recent findings

Animal studies continue to shed light on the molecular, vascular, glial, neuronal, behavioral, and environmental events that are important to the spontaneous behavioral recovery that is observed during the weeks after a stroke. Animal and human studies are examining a wide range of potential interventions that may favorably modify outcome, including small molecules, growth factors, cell-based approaches, electromagnetic stimulation, a range of devices and robots, and intense physiotherapy methods, including constraint-induced movement therapy. Optimal prescription of these restorative therapies in human patients with stroke requires further study, including defining potential roles for functional neuroimaging.

## Summary

A wide range of therapies shows promise for improving poststroke brain repair. Insights into the neurobiology of brain repair after stroke in animals and in humans continue to accrue. This information might prove useful in designing and implementing clinical trials that aim to measure the clinical effects of restorative therapies after stroke.

## Keywords

plasticity, recovery, stem cells, stroke, therapy

Curr Opin Neurol 21:76–82  
© 2008 Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins  
1350-7540

## Introduction

Stroke remains a leading cause of death and disability in the USA and many other countries. It can cause deficits in a number of neurologic domains, most commonly in the motor system [1]. In most patients some degree of spontaneous behavioral recovery is observed during the weeks to months after stroke onset [2,3]. This recovery is generally incomplete, however. A key challenge is to elucidate the mechanisms of spontaneous behavioral recovery after stroke, and to use this information to guide optimal prescription of restorative therapeutics after stroke.

Clinical studies of the natural history of behavioral recovery after stroke show divergent patterns across different domains of neurologic function. Nakayama *et al.* [2] found that maximum arm motor function was achieved by 95% of patients within 9 weeks. Pedersen *et al.* [4] found that final level of language function was achieved in 95% of patients by 6 weeks poststroke. Hier *et al.* [5] also found that recovery from neglect was largely complete by 3 months. One pattern across these and other studies is that individuals with more severe deficits recover over a longer time period.

Animal studies have provided clues to a number of the key molecular events that are important to spontaneous recovery after stroke [6–8,9\*,10]. Direct measure of these molecular events is generally not possible in humans. Brain mapping can be used to derive insights into the basis of spontaneous recovery after stroke in humans, however, and many results have been concordant with findings in animals. Recent reviews have considered this issue [11–13].

A critical determinant of behavioral outcome in humans is the function of elegant and eloquent neocortical areas that, at prestroke baseline, are central to generation of behaviors such as movement, language, and attention. The extent to which these areas are injured and exhibit reduced function has a primary influence on behavioral outcome [14,15\*]. Probing the function of primary neocortex after stroke is complicated by the difficulty of disentangling the effects of injury from plasticity. Depending on the topography of injury, the location of cortical function can be displaced to neighboring areas [16,17], a process that arises independently of other poststroke plasticity events such as change in inter-hemispheric balance [18]. Other studies have found

that the topography of stroke injury influences functional reorganization after stroke [19]. Regional changes in brain function after stroke can have anatomic correlates such as increased cortical thickness [20].

Although activation in the peri-infarct zone has been specifically noted [21–23], its behavioral significance remains to be fully clarified. One recent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study of patients with cortical stroke did not identify a significant correlation between extent of peri-infarct activation and behavioral outcome after stroke [24]. This assessment was complicated, however, by the additional observation that the T2\*-weighted MRI signal used to measure brain activation with fMRI was itself altered in the peri-infarct zone, perhaps because of glial scarring.

Increased activation within multiple nodes of secondary, association cortex that together comprise a distributed network is a common poststroke activation pattern [17,21,25–27], described in brain networks related to a range of neurologic domains including motor, language, and attention functions. Maintenance of behavioral output after injury to one node of a network is associated with increased activation within surviving network areas. This extends to the contralesional hemisphere, where increased recruitment after stroke is seen and can be quantitated via a laterality index [21], methods for which have been refined [28]. Such increases in activation are greatest in those with the poorest behavioral outcome or largest lesions [18,28–30].

### Recent observations related to spontaneous recovery in animals

Recent studies have substantiated that spontaneous behavioral recovery after unilateral infarct occurs on the basis of a wide range of bilateral growth-related brain events. These include axonal, dendritic, and synaptic changes; increased activation and migration of endogenous neural stems; and changes in glia, inflammation, and angiogenesis [7,9,10]. In many cases, a better understanding of these processes might assist in defining therapeutic targets for improving poststroke brain repair in humans via pharmacologic [31–36], cell-based [37,38], immune-based [39,40], gene transfer [41], and physical [42] therapeutic approaches. A number of factors can influence these repair-related events, such as infarct size or degree of environmental enrichment [43]. Dancause *et al.* [44] described a specific form of neuroanatomic reorganization distant from infarct, whereby primates with primary motor cortex injury produce a novel projection from ventral premotor cortex to somatosensory cortex; this model of poststroke remodeling might prove particularly instructive.

MRI studies have also been instructive. van der Zijden *et al.* [45] used MRI to measure changes in brain region connectivity in rats subjected to occlusion of the middle cerebral artery. This is of particular importance because, as with previous work from this laboratory on fMRI in rats with experimental stroke [46], the MRI investigational approach is similar to that used in humans. Therefore, the potential for direct comparison and translation to the human experience is high.

### Recent observations related to spontaneous recovery in humans

Numerous profound changes evolve in the brain during the early days after stroke [47]. Significant changes in organization of brain function can arise as late as 12 months after stroke, however [48]. Despite this wide range, Woldag *et al.* [49] found that day 7 clinical assessments have the strongest predictive value for final behavioral outcome.

The influences that drive poststroke repair continue to be clarified. The degree of vascular insult needed to incite repair-related reorganization might be much lower than was previously appreciated [50]. The specific tracts injured by stroke could be important to elucidating patterns of deficits, plasticity, and treatment response [19,51,52]. Ward *et al.* [53], in a study of eight patients, found that a compensatory increase in regional fMRI activation within several bilateral brain areas, including both primary and secondary motor cortices, was linearly related to the degree of reduction in transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) measures of motor cortex/corticospinal tract functional integrity. Studies have confirmed that inhibition of the ipsilesional hemisphere by the contralesional hemisphere – a potentially important process whose modulation might be useful for improving cortical output – can be increased after stroke [54], although the mechanisms underlying this finding require further study [55]. Several lines of evidence, including virtual lesions [56,57] and other investigative approaches [58], indicate that bilateral supranormal activations arising after stroke – whatever their basis is and despite the fact that they are seen most often in weaker patients – do contribute to whatever behavioral recovery spontaneously arises after stroke. Inflammation has an important relationship with poststroke repair [59], highlighting the importance of reports of microglia traffic measurement during the first month after stroke [60].

One principle emerging across human brain studies is that baseline functional anatomy influences the pattern of poststroke functional anatomy. Thus, swallowing [61], facial movement [18], and gait [62] are normally more

bilaterally organized than distal extremity movements. Also, after stroke, a shift away from the ipsilesional hemisphere in the balance of hemispheric activity occurs most often and with greatest clinical gains in these tasks. This principle suggests the hypothesis that behaviors that are more bilaterally organized in the normal state might benefit from a more bilateral approach to therapy.

Genetic factors probably also have important influences on poststroke repair in humans. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is among the most abundant growth factors in mammalian brain, being necessary for many neuronal functions. A single nucleotide polymorphism producing a valine to methionine amino acid substitution at codon 66 occurs in one or both human alleles in more than 27% of the American population [63]. Kleim *et al.* [64\*\*] found that individuals with the BDNF val66met polymorphism in one or both alleles exhibited significantly impaired short-term experience-dependent motor cortex plasticity. Given the importance of cortical plasticity to behavioral recovery after stroke, this finding suggests that this polymorphism might have an important influence on behavioral outcome after stroke. Observations such as those of Siironen *et al.* [65], who found that the presence of this polymorphism was associated with a poorer outcome after subarachnoid hemorrhage, support this hypothesis.

Examination of the phases of brain repair during the weeks after a stroke suggests distinct poststroke temporal epochs, each requiring specific therapeutic approaches. Similar models have been described in the motor [66] and language [13] systems.

---

### Promoting repair after stroke

A number of therapies, representing divergent approaches, are in development to enhance behavioral outcome beyond that attained spontaneously. The state of development of these therapies ranges from preclinical investigation to late phase human trials.

A number of small molecules show promise for promoting brain repair after stroke. Some, such as extended-release niacin [67] and sildenafil [35], have prior human applications in other medical indications. Hopes have been high for amphetamine in light of prior small positive reports, but a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of 5 weeks of amphetamine in 71 patients with subacute stroke did not demonstrate a drug-related benefit [68\*]. Neutralization of the axon growth inhibitor Nogo-A with monoclonal antibodies might be useful in a number of neurologic conditions, including stroke [40].

Growth factors play an important role in development and spontaneous brain remodelling, and so it is not

surprising that they are emerging as potentially important restorative agents. Examples of preclinical effectiveness with exogenous growth factors include BDNF [69] and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor [36]. Kolb *et al.* [34] found that sequential administration of epidermal growth factor and erythropoietin reduced deficits, in some cases when treatment was initiated 7 days after stroke onset, with this on the heels of a positive small study showing safety and benefits from erythropoietin delivered within 8 h of stroke onset in humans [70]. The issue of the blood–brain barrier effects on accessing biologic targets might be important, with a solution being use of a ‘trojan horse’ approach [38].

The use of exogenous cells is receiving increased attention in stroke. A small trial in human patients with subacute stroke found marrow stromal cells to be safe and possibly effective in reducing disability [71]. The time window after stroke during which intravenous marrow stromal cells improve final outcome in rats is now known to be at least 1 month [72\*\*]. Other forms of exogenous stem cells have shown promise in related neurologic conditions [73,74]. Genetic modification of marrow stromal cells permits local delivery of specific growth factors, with behavioral gains [37,75–77]. Inducing changes in the number and behavior of endogenous stem cells might also be an important approach [78].

The brain is an electrical organ, and not surprisingly electromagnetic stimulation can modulate a number of functions and behaviors. Repetitive TMS can have inhibitory or excitatory effects on cortical activity [79]. As such, goals can include increasing activity in ipsilesional cortical regions that are underactive [80,81], or in contralesional cortical regions that are overactive and a source of potentially harmful inhibition [82]. Transcranial direct current stimulation has also shown promise in initial studies [83]. Epidural motor cortex stimulation can also improve motor function after stroke [83]. In these approaches, brain mapping studies might be useful to direct the site of stimulation [84].

A number of devices that interface directly and indirectly with the human central nervous system are in development to improve function after stroke. Examples include a direct brain–computer interface to modulate motor function [85\*] or alertness [86]. Methods for less invasive acquisition of brain output to drive such devices are under exploration [87]. Robotic devices continue to be developed to improve functional status after stroke [88]. Robotic therapies offer potential advantages in that they can be active without fatigue for very long time periods, they can perform in a consistent and precise manner, they can be programmed, they have the capacity to measure

a range of behaviors, and they are enabled for tele-rehabilitation [89,90]. Functional electrical stimulation can also improve outcome after stroke [91].

Physiotherapy interventions will probably be an important component of many restorative therapies, alone or as an adjunct. The EXCITE (Extremity Constraint Induced Therapy Evaluation) trial, a single-blind, customary care-controlled, randomized, multisite trial of 222 patients with moderate motor deficits from a first stroke 3–9 months previously, demonstrated effectiveness of constraint-induced movement therapy (CIMT) [92<sup>••</sup>]. Although evidence suggests that increased time in therapy early after stroke can improve functional outcome [93], application of CIMT very early after stroke at high intensity can be deleterious [94]. Modified forms of CIMT might increase the fraction of patients who benefit from this intervention [95]. A number of other forms of therapy are also under evaluation, including motor imagery, observation, and imitation, including use of virtual reality approaches [96–101].

### Optimizing approaches to repairing the brain after stroke in humans

Maximal gains from these restorative therapies might be achieved if they are applied in light of insights into the neurobiology of poststroke repair presented above. Thus, measurement of features of central nervous system function might serve as guides to some features of restorative therapies after stroke. There are numerous examples in the practice of medicine whereby the physiologic state of the target tissue is probed to guide decision making and thereby maximally reduce symptoms. Examples include use of pulmonary function tests to guide treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, measurement of serum thyroid-stimulating hormone to guide treatment of hypothyroidism, and use of exercise treadmill testing to guide treatment of coronary artery disease.

Approaches to applying functional neuroimaging in this context are at a relatively early stage. One domain of application is as a biologic marker of treatment effect, providing secondary measures of treatment effect as well as insights into treatment mechanism. One recent meta-analysis [102] examined studies that have employed functional neuroimaging as a biologic marker of treatment effects targeting stroke. Conclusions across 13 studies included that motor deficits have been most often studied, that published studies have focused on patients with good to excellent outcome at baseline, and that there is a paucity of functional neuroimaging conducted to examine treatment effects during the first few months after stroke. The review also concluded that further study is required into the effects of key variables such as lesion site, concomitant

diagnoses (e.g. depression), sex, and age on the performance of functional neuroimaging in this context.

Another domain of application is to predict treatment response; such data might be useful in patient selection. A number of initial efforts in this direction have been reported, in small cohorts. Stinear *et al.* [103] examined 17 patients with chronic stroke. Baseline measures that predicted motor gains across 30 days of motor practice therapy included fractional anisotropy, a diffusion tensor-based measure of white matter integrity, in the posterior limb of internal capsule. Results varied according to physiologic properties of the corticospinal system. Cramer *et al.* [104<sup>•</sup>] examined 24 patients with chronic stroke before and after 6 weeks of rehabilitation therapy with or without investigational motor cortex stimulation. Several baseline measures correlated with subsequent trial-related clinical gains and were entered into a forward stepwise multiple linear regression model, which found that two baseline measures had independent value for predicting clinical gains: baseline arm motor status and an fMRI-based measure of motor cortex function (with lower motor cortex activation predicting greater potential to improve with therapy). Interestingly, greater treatment-related gains were associated with greater increases in motor cortex activation over time. Koski *et al.* [105] found that change in TMS measures across the first two therapy sessions predicted response to subsequent weeks of motor therapy. Dong *et al.* [106] found that the fMRI laterality index midway through motor therapy predicted subsequent behavioral gains. The latter two studies suggest that functional neuroimaging might also be able to aid in selection of restorative therapy dose.

A number of other avenues are under study to improve the approaches used to administer restorative therapies after stroke. Establishment of standardized protocols for functional neuroimaging might reduce several sources of variance [107,108]. Disentangling behavioral compensation from actual recovery might be of high importance [109]. Assessment of a range of neurologic domains might maximize the likelihood of detecting changes in a subset of neurologic domains, an approach that is more difficult when relying exclusively on global neurologic scales to measure therapeutic effects.

### Conclusion

Studies in animals have provided insights into the neurobiology of spontaneous behavioral recovery after stroke, and in doing so they have helped to define a number of therapeutic targets to improve outcome further. Brain mapping studies have provided insights into this repair in humans, with results showing many points of overlap with animal studies. Furthermore, brain mapping can be a useful source of information relevant to

decision making in the setting of a restorative intervention trial in humans with stroke.

## References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

Additional references related to this topic can also be found in the Current World Literature section in this issue (pp. 101–102).

- 1 Rathore S, Hinn A, Cooper L, *et al.* Characterization of incident stroke signs and symptoms: findings from the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Stroke* 2002; 33:2718–2721.
- 2 Nakayama H, Jorgensen H, Raaschou H, Olsen T. Recovery of upper extremity function in stroke patients: the Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1994; 75:394–398.
- 3 Duncan P, Lai S, Keighley J. Defining poststroke recovery: implications for design and interpretation of drug trials. *Neuropharmacology* 2000; 39:835–841.
- 4 Pedersen P, Jorgensen H, Nakayama H, *et al.* Aphasia in acute stroke: incidence, determinants, and recovery. *Ann Neurol* 1995; 38:659–666.
- 5 Hier D, Mondlock J, Caplan L. Recovery of behavioral abnormalities after right hemisphere stroke. *Neurology* 1983; 33:345–350.
- 6 Cramer S, Chopp M. Recovery recapitulates ontogeny. *Trends Neurosci* 2000; 23:265–271.
- 7 Nudo RJ. Postinfarct cortical plasticity and behavioral recovery. *Stroke* 2007; 38:840–845.
- 8 Jones T, Schallert T. Use-dependent growth of pyramidal neurons after neocortical damage. *J Neurosci* 1994; 14:2140–2152.
- 9 Carmichael ST. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of neural repair after stroke: making waves. *Ann Neurol* 2006; 59:735–742.
- This is a very good review of cellular mechanisms of brain repair after stroke.
- 10 Komitova M, Johansson BB, Eriksson PS. On neural plasticity, new neurons and the postischemic milieu: an integrated view on experimental rehabilitation. *Exp Neurol* 2006; 199:42–55.
- 11 Butefisch CM, Kleiser R, Seitz RJ. Postlesional cerebral reorganization: evidence from functional neuroimaging and transcranial magnetic stimulation. *J Physiol Paris* 2006; 99:437–454.
- 12 Yozbatiran N, Cramer SC. Imaging motor recovery after stroke. *NeuroRx* 2006; 3:482–488.
- 13 Saur D, Lange R, Baumgaertner A, *et al.* Dynamics of language reorganization after stroke. *Brain* 2006; 129:1371–1384.
- 14 Heiss WD, Thiel A. A proposed regional hierarchy in recovery of poststroke aphasia. *Brain Lang* 2006; 98:118–123.
- 15 Talelli P, Greenwood RJ, Rothwell JC. Arm function after stroke: neurophysiological correlates and recovery mechanisms assessed by transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2006; 117:1641–1659.
- A thorough review of neurophysiologic changes in the motor system after stroke is presented.
- 16 Nudo R, Wise B, SiFuentes F, Milliken G. Neural substrates for the effects of rehabilitative training on motor recovery after ischemic infarct. *Science* 1996; 272:1791–1794.
- 17 Weiller C, Ramsay S, Wise R, *et al.* Individual patterns of functional reorganization in the human cerebral cortex after capsular infarction. *Ann Neurol* 1993; 33:181–189.
- 18 Cramer SC, Crafton KR. Somatotopy and movement representation sites following cortical stroke. *Exp Brain Res* 2006; 168:25–32.
- 19 Hamzei F, Liepert J, Dettmers C, *et al.* Two different reorganization patterns after rehabilitative therapy: an exploratory study with fMRI and TMS. *Neuroimage* 2006; 31:710–720.
- 20 Schaechter JD, Moore CI, Connell BD, *et al.* Structural and functional plasticity in the somatosensory cortex of chronic stroke patients. *Brain* 2006; 129:2722–2733.
- 21 Cramer S, Nelles G, Benson R, *et al.* A functional MRI study of subjects recovered from hemiparetic stroke. *Stroke* 1997; 28:2518–2527.
- 22 Rosen H, Petersen S, Linenweber M, *et al.* Neural correlates of recovery from aphasia after damage to left inferior frontal cortex. *Neurology* 2000; 55:1883–1894.
- 23 Kleiser R, Wittsack H, Butefisch C, *et al.* Functional activation within the PI-DWI mismatch region in recovery from ischemic stroke: preliminary observations. *Neuroimage* 2005; 24:515–523.
- 24 Cramer SC, Shah R, Juranek J, *et al.* Activity in the peri-infarct rim in relation to recovery from stroke. *Stroke* 2006; 37:111–115.
- 25 Brion J-P, Demeurisse G, Capon A. Evidence of cortical reorganization in hemiparetic patients. *Stroke* 1989; 20:1079–1084.
- 26 Chollet F, DiPiero V, Wise R, *et al.* The functional anatomy of motor recovery after stroke in humans: a study with positron emission tomography. *Ann Neurol* 1991; 29:63–71.
- 27 Corbetta M, Burton H, Sinclair R, *et al.* Functional reorganization and stability of somatosensory-motor cortical topography in a tetraplegic subject with late recovery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; 99:17066–17071.
- 28 Calautti C, Naccarato M, Jones PS, *et al.* The relationship between motor deficit and hemisphere activation balance after stroke: A 3T fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 2007; 34:322–331.
- 29 Ward N, Brown M, Thompson A, Frackowiak R. Neural correlates of motor recovery after stroke: a longitudinal fMRI study. *Brain* 2003; 126:2476–2496.
- 30 Ward N, Brown M, Thompson A, Frackowiak R. Neural correlates of outcome after stroke: a cross-sectional fMRI study. *Brain* 2003; 126:1430–1448.
- 31 Tsai PT, Ohab JJ, Kertesz N, *et al.* A critical role of erythropoietin receptor in neurogenesis and poststroke recovery. *J Neurosci* 2006; 26:1269–1274.
- 32 Schabitz WR, Steigleder T, Cooper-Kuhn CM, *et al.* Intravenous brain-derived neurotrophic factor enhances poststroke sensorimotor recovery and stimulates neurogenesis. *Stroke* 2007; 38:2165–2172.
- 33 Ramic M, Emerick AJ, Bollnow MR, *et al.* Axonal plasticity is associated with motor recovery following amphetamine treatment combined with rehabilitation after brain injury in the adult rat. *Brain Res* 2006; 1111:176–186.
- 34 Kolb B, Morshead C, Gonzalez C, *et al.* Growth factor-stimulated generation of new cortical tissue and functional recovery after stroke damage to the motor cortex of rats. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2007; 27:983–997.
- 35 Li L, Jiang Q, Zhang L, *et al.* Angiogenesis and improved cerebral blood flow in the ischemic boundary area detected by MRI after administration of sildenafil to rats with embolic stroke. *Brain Res* 2007; 1132:185–192.
- 36 Schneider UC, Schilling L, Schroeck H, *et al.* Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-induced vessel growth restores cerebral blood supply after bilateral carotid artery occlusion. *Stroke* 2007; 38:1320–1328.
- 37 Horita Y, Honmou O, Harada K, *et al.* Intravenous administration of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor gene-modified human mesenchymal stem cells protects against injury in a cerebral ischemia model in the adult rat. *J Neurosci Res* 2006; 84:1495–1504.
- 38 Zhang Y, Pardridge WM. Blood-brain barrier targeting of BDNF improves motor function in rats with middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Brain Res* 2006; 1111:227–229.
- 39 Ziv Y, Finkelstein A, Geffen Y, *et al.* A novel immune-based therapy for stroke induces neuroprotection and supports neurogenesis. *Stroke* 2007; 38:774–782.
- 40 Papadopoulos CM, Tsai SY, Cheatwood JL, *et al.* Dendritic plasticity in the adult rat following middle cerebral artery occlusion and Nogo-a neutralization. *Cereb Cortex* 2006; 16:529–536.
- 41 Shimamura M, Sato N, Waguri S, *et al.* Gene transfer of hepatocyte growth factor gene improves learning and memory in the chronic stage of cerebral infarction. *Hypertension* 2006; 47:742–751.
- 42 Ramanathan D, Conner JM, Tuszyński MH. A form of motor cortical plasticity that correlates with recovery of function after brain injury. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006; 103:11370–11375.
- 43 Hicks AU, Hewlett K, Windle V, *et al.* Enriched environment enhances transplanted subventricular zone stem cell migration and functional recovery after stroke. *Neuroscience* 2007; 146:31–40.
- 44 Dancause N, Barbay S, Frost SB, *et al.* Extensive cortical rewiring after brain injury. *J Neurosci* 2005; 25:10167–10179.
- 45 van der Zijden JP, Wu O, van der Toorn A, *et al.* Changes in neuronal connectivity after stroke in rats as studied by serial manganese-enhanced MRI. *Neuroimage* 2007; 34:1650–1657.
- 46 Dijkhuizen R, Singhal A, Mandeville J, *et al.* Correlation between brain reorganization, ischemic damage, and neurologic status after transient focal cerebral ischemia in rats: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurosci* 2003; 23:510–517.
- 47 Guadagno JV, Warburton EA, Jones PS, *et al.* How affected is oxygen metabolism in DWI lesions?: a combined acute stroke PET-MR study. *Neurology* 2006; 67:824–829.
- 48 Tombari D, Loubinoux I, Pariente J, *et al.* A longitudinal fMRI study: in recovering and then in clinically stable sub-cortical stroke patients. *Neuroimage* 2004; 23:827–839.

- 49 Woldag H, Gerhold LL, de Groot M, *et al.* Early prediction of functional outcome after stroke. *Brain Inj* 2006; 20:1047–1052.
- 50 Marshall RS, Krakauer JW, Matejovsky T, *et al.* Hemodynamic impairment as a stimulus for functional brain reorganization. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2006; 26:1256–1262.
- 51 Luft A, Waller S, Forrester L, *et al.* Lesion location alters brain activation in chronically impaired stroke survivors. *Neuroimage* 2004; 21:924–935.
- 52 Newton JM, Ward NS, Parker GJ, *et al.* Noninvasive mapping of corticofugal fibres from multiple motor areas—relevance to stroke recovery. *Brain* 2006; 129:1844–1858.
- This paper presents a new approach to measuring stroke-related injury, one that might ultimately provide needed insights to relate injury to behavioral deficits.
- 53 Ward NS, Newton JM, Swayne OB, *et al.* Motor system activation after subcortical stroke depends on corticospinal system integrity. *Brain* 2006; 129:809–819.
- 54 Nair DG, Hutchinson S, Fregni F, *et al.* Imaging correlates of motor recovery from cerebral infarction and their physiological significance in well recovered patients. *Neuroimage* 2007; 34:253–263.
- 55 Butefisch CM, Wessling MM, Netz J, *et al.* Relationship between interhemispheric inhibition and motor cortex excitability in subacute stroke patients. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2007; 16 May [Epub ahead of print].
- 56 Lotze M, Markert J, Sauseng P, *et al.* The role of multiple contralateral motor areas for complex hand movements after internal capsular lesion. *J Neurosci* 2006; 26:6096–6102.
- This paper provides a superb demonstration that the supranormal activations seen bilaterally after stroke, while a sign of desperation and compensation, are nevertheless useful for maximizing behavioral outcome.
- 57 Winhuisen L, Thiel A, Schumacher B, *et al.* Role of the contralateral inferior frontal gyrus in recovery of language function in poststroke aphasia: a combined repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and positron emission tomography study. *Stroke* 2005; 36:1759–1763.
- 58 Gerloff C, Bushara K, Sailer A, *et al.* Multimodal imaging of brain reorganization in motor areas of the contralateral hemisphere of well recovered patients after capsular stroke. *Brain* 2006; 129:791–808.
- 59 Lucas SM, Rothwell NJ, Gibson RM. The role of inflammation in CNS injury and disease. *Br J Pharmacol* 2006; 147 (Suppl 1):S232–S240.
- 60 Price CJ, Wang D, Menon DK, *et al.* Intrinsic activated microglia map to the peri-infarct zone in the subacute phase of ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1749–1753.
- 61 Hamdy S, Aziz Q, Rothwell J, *et al.* Recovery of swallowing after dysphagic stroke relates to functional reorganization in the intact motor cortex. *Gastroenterology* 1998; 115:1104–1112.
- 62 Miyai I, Yagura H, Hatakenaka M, *et al.* Longitudinal optical imaging study for locomotor recovery after stroke. *Stroke* 2003; 34:2866–2870.
- 63 Shimizu E, Hashimoto K, Iyo M. Ethnic difference of the BDNF 196G/A (val66met) polymorphism frequencies: the possibility to explain ethnic mental traits. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2004; 126:122–123.
- 64 Kleim JA, Chan S, Pringle E, *et al.* BDNF val66met polymorphism is associated with modified experience-dependent plasticity in human motor cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 2006; 9:735–737.
- This is among the first demonstrations of the genetics of plasticity; the single nucleotide polymorphism characterized is common and could theoretically substantially influence stroke recovery.
- 65 Siironen J, Juvela S, Kanarek K, *et al.* The Met allele of the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism predicts poor outcome among survivors of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2007; 38:2858–2860.
- 66 Cramer S. Functional imaging in stroke recovery. *Stroke* 2004; 35:2695–2698.
- 67 Chen J, Cui X, Zacharek A, *et al.* Niaspan increases angiogenesis and improves functional recovery after stroke. *Ann Neurol* 2007; 62:49–58.
- 68 Gladstone DJ, Danells CJ, Armesto A, *et al.* Physiotherapy coupled with dextroamphetamine for rehabilitation after hemiparetic stroke: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Stroke* 2006; 37:179–185.
- This is a very carefully conducted, and negative, trial of an agent long considered to be of potential value to stroke recovery.
- 69 Schabitz WR, Berger C, Kollmar R, *et al.* Effect of brain-derived neurotrophic factor treatment and forced arm use on functional motor recovery after small cortical ischemia. *Stroke* 2004; 35:992–997.
- 70 Ehrenreich H, Hasselblatt M, Dembowski C, *et al.* Erythropoietin therapy for acute stroke is both safe and beneficial. *Mol Med* 2002; 8:495–505.
- 71 Bang OY, Lee JS, Lee PH, Lee G. Autologous mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in stroke patients. *Ann Neurol* 2005; 57:874–882.
- 72 Shen LH, Li Y, Chen J, *et al.* Therapeutic benefit of bone marrow stromal cells administered 1 month after stroke. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2006; 27:6–13.
- This report extends the therapeutic window for cell therapy after stroke to 1 month, and it provides rich insight into treatment mechanism.
- 73 Keirstead HS, Nistor G, Bernal G, *et al.* Human embryonic stem cell-derived oligodendrocyte progenitor cell transplants remyelinate and restore locomotion after spinal cord injury. *J Neurosci* 2005; 25:4694–4705.
- 74 Cummings BJ, Uchida N, Tamaki SJ, *et al.* Human neural stem cells differentiate and promote locomotor recovery in spinal cord-injured mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; 102:14069–14074.
- 75 Kurozumi K, Nakamura K, Tamiya T, *et al.* BDNF gene-modified mesenchymal stem cells promote functional recovery and reduce infarct size in the rat middle cerebral artery occlusion model. *Mol Ther* 2004; 9:189–197.
- 76 Liu H, Honmou O, Harada K, *et al.* Neuroprotection by PIGF gene-modified human mesenchymal stem cells after cerebral ischaemia. *Brain* 2006; 129:2734–2745.
- 77 Zhao MZ, Nonoguchi N, Ikeda N, *et al.* Novel therapeutic strategy for stroke in rats by bone marrow stromal cells and ex vivo HGF gene transfer with HSV-1 vector. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2006; 26:1176–1188.
- 78 Leker RR. Manipulation of endogenous neural stem cells following ischemic brain injury. *Pathophysiol Haemost Thromb* 2006; 35:58–62.
- 79 Valero-Cabre A, Payne BR, Pascual-Leone A. Opposite impact on (14)C-2-deoxyglucose brain metabolism following patterns of high and low frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the posterior parietal cortex. *Exp Brain Res* 2007; 176:603–615.
- 80 Khedr EM, Ahmed MA, Fathy N, Rothwell JC. Therapeutic trial of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation after acute ischemic stroke. *Neurology* 2005; 65:466–468.
- 81 Kim YH, You SH, Ko MH, *et al.* Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation-induced corticomotor excitability and associated motor skill acquisition in chronic stroke. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1471–1476.
- 82 Fregni F, Boggio PS, Valle AC, *et al.* A sham-controlled trial of a 5-day course of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the unaffected hemisphere in stroke patients. *Stroke* 2006; 37:2115–2122.
- 83 Webster BR, Celnik PA, Cohen LG. Noninvasive brain stimulation in stroke rehabilitation. *NeuroRx* 2006; 3:474–481.
- 84 Cramer S, Benson R, Himes D, *et al.* Use of functional MRI to guide decisions in a clinical stroke trial. *Stroke* 2005; 36:e50–e52.
- 85 Hochberg LR, Serruya MD, Fiebert GM, *et al.* Neuronal ensemble control of prosthetic devices by a human with tetraplegia. *Nature* 2006; 442:164–171.
- This device ushers in a major new approach to restoring movement in patients with devastating motor deficits.
- 86 Schiff ND, Giacino JT, Kalmar K, *et al.* Behavioural improvements with thalamic stimulation after severe traumatic brain injury. *Nature* 2007; 448:600–603.
- 87 Tecchio F, Porcaro C, Barbati G, Zappasodi F. Functional source separation and hand cortical representation for a brain-computer interface feature extraction. *J Physiol* 2007; 580:703–721.
- 88 Kwakkel G, Kollen BJ, Krebs HI. Effects of robot-assisted therapy on upper limb recovery after stroke: a systematic review. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2007; 17 Sept [Epub ahead of print].
- 89 Deutsch JE, Lewis JA, Burdea G. Technical and patient performance using a virtual reality-integrated telerehabilitation system: preliminary finding. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2007; 15:30–35.
- 90 Takahashi C, Der-Yeghiaian L, Le V, Cramer SC. A robotic device for hand motor therapy after stroke. In *Proceedings of IEEE 9th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics: Frontiers of the Human-Machine Interface*; 28 June–1 July 2005; Chicago, Illinois. Piscataway, New Jersey: IEEE; 2005:17–20.
- 91 Ring H, Rosenthal N. Controlled study of neuroprosthetic functional electrical stimulation in sub-acute poststroke rehabilitation. *J Rehabil Med* 2005; 37:32–36.
- 92 Wolf SL, Winstein CJ, Miller JP, *et al.* Effect of constraint-induced movement therapy on upper extremity function 3 to 9 months after stroke: the EXCITE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2006; 296:2095–2104.
- This is a very carefully performed phase III trial that in some regards moves the field of stroke rehabilitation trials forward in major steps.
- 93 Kwakkel G. Impact of intensity of practice after stroke: issues for consideration. *Disabil Rehabil* 2006; 28:823–830.
- 94 Dromerick A, Lang C, Powers W, *et al.* Very Early Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy (VECTORS): phase II trial results [abstract]. *Stroke* 2007; 38:465.

- 95 Page SJ, Levine P. Back from the brink: electromyography-triggered stimulation combined with modified constraint-induced movement therapy in chronic stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2006; 87:27–31.
- 96 Sharma N, Pomeroy VM, Baron JC. Motor imagery: a backdoor to the motor system after stroke? *Stroke* 2006; 37:1941–1952.
- 97 Page SJ, Levine P, Leonard A. Mental practice in chronic stroke: results of a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Stroke* 2007; 38:1293–1297.
- 98 Johnson-Frey SH. Stimulation through simulation? Motor imagery and functional reorganization in hemiplegic stroke patients. *Brain Cogn* 2004; 55:328–331.
- 99 Fischer HC, Stubblefield K, Kline T, *et al.* Hand rehabilitation following stroke: a pilot study of assisted finger extension training in a virtual environment. *Top Stroke Rehabil* 2007; 14:1–12.
- 100 Merians AS, Poizner H, Boian R, *et al.* Sensorimotor training in a virtual reality environment: does it improve functional recovery poststroke? *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2006; 20:252–267.
- 101 Mulder T. Motor imagery and action observation: cognitive tools for rehabilitation. *J Neural Transm* 2007; 114:1265–1278.
- 102 Hodics T, Cohen LG, Cramer SC. Functional imaging of intervention effects in stroke motor rehabilitation. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2006; 87:36–42.
- 103 Stinear CM, Barber PA, Smale PR, *et al.* Functional potential in chronic stroke patients depends on corticospinal tract integrity. *Brain* 2007; 130:170–180.
- 104 Cramer SC, Parrish TB, Levy RM, *et al.* Predicting functional gains in a stroke trial. *Stroke* 2007; 38:2108–2114.  
 • This is among the first studies to demonstrate the value of functional neuroimaging measures in a clinical stroke trial; such findings performed as well as some clinical measures in predicting treatment effects.
- 105 Koski L, Mernar T, Dobkin B. Immediate and long-term changes in corticomotor output in response to rehabilitation: correlation with functional improvements in chronic stroke. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2004; 18:230–249.
- 106 Dong Y, Dobkin BH, Cen SY, *et al.* Motor cortex activation during treatment may predict therapeutic gains in paretic hand function after stroke. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1552–1555.
- 107 Kleim J, Kleim E, Cramer SC. Systematic assessment of training-induced changes in corticospinal output to hand using frameless stereotaxic transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Nat Protoc* 2007; 2:1675–1684.
- 108 Schutter DJ, van Honk J. A standardized motor threshold estimation procedure for transcranial magnetic stimulation research. *J ECT* 2006; 22:176–178.
- 109 Cirstea MC, Levin MF. Improvement of arm movement patterns and endpoint control depends on type of feedback during practice in stroke survivors. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2007; 21:398–411.